

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,660	06/24/2003	John J. Vrana	60,152-978	1062	
27305 75	90 09/30/2004		EXAMINER		
	HOWARD ATTORNE	OMGBA, ESSAMA			
THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101 39400 WOODWARD AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BLOOMFIELD	BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151			3726	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/20/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/602,660	VRANA, JOHN J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Essama Omgba	3726				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
, 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,9-15 and 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.	, ·				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies 	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/03/03</u> .	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 1, line 5, --to-- should be inserted after "relates", in line 19, "which" should read --with--; and on page 5, line 16, reference numeral "22" should read --24--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reusser (US patent 3,276,499).

Reusser discloses a pierce nut installation apparatus comprising a reciprocating plunger **P** having an end portion engaging and installing pierce nuts in a panel 14, the end portion of the plunger including a generally cylindrical guide portion 16 projecting from the end portion of the plunger received in bore 2 of the pierce nut, an outer diameter of the cylindrical guide portion being substantially equal to an internal diameter of the bore, the cylindrical guide portion being an axial pilot, and wherein the cylindrical guide could be a retracting pilot, see column 4, lines 62-70, column 6, lines 1-5 and figures 1-3. Applicant should note that the pierce nut feed passage for receiving pierce

Art Unit: 3726

nuts and the plunger passage communicating the feed passage receiving the pierce nuts from the feed passage are conventional in the art and that the cylindrical guide portion disclosed by Reusser is capable of preventing cocking of the pierce nuts.

Applicant should also note that it is inherent that Reusser's plunger will have an axial bore in the embodiment where the guide pilot is retractable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reusser.

Reusser discloses a pierce nut installation apparatus as shown above except for the generally cylindrical guide portion being formed of a hard polymer. However it would have been an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that forming the cylindrical guide element of a hard polymer is an obvious matter of design choice wherein no stated problem is solved or unexpected results obtained in forming the cylindrical portion of a hard polymer versus the material disclosed by Reusser.

6. Claims 3, 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reusser in view of Kitaura (JP 62050021).

Art Unit: 3726

Reusser discloses a pierce nut installation apparatus as shown above except for the end portion of the plunger including a threaded axial opening and the generally cylindrical guide portion including a male threaded portion threadably received in the threaded axial opening. However Kitaura teaches threaded axial opening in a plunger 11 and such male threaded end portion 12, see figure 6 for example. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have provided the pierce nut installation apparatus of Reusser with a plunger with a threaded axial opening and a cylindrical guide portion with a male threaded end portion threadable received in the threaded axial opening, in light of the teachings of Kitaura, in order to be able to facilitate feeding of the nuts to the punch. Applicant should note that such plungers are conventionally made of steel.

7. Claims 5, 7, 9, 13-15, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reusser in view of Capuano (US Patent 4,389,766).

With regards to claims 5, 13 and 15, Reusser discloses a pierce nut installation apparatus as shown above except for the generally cylindrical guide portion being frustoconical having a major diameter at the end portion of the plunger substantially equal to the internal diameter of the bore of the pierce nuts. However Capuano teaches such guide portion, see column 7, lines 21-26. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have designed the guide portion of the plunger of Reusser frustoconical with a major diameter at the end portion of the plunger substantially equal to the internal diameter of the bore of the

Art Unit: 3726

pierce nuts, in light of the teachings of Capuano, in order to accurately locate the pierce nuts during installation.

For claims 7 and 15, see figure 5 of Capuano.

For claim 9, see figure 1 of Reusser.

With regards to claim 14, Applicant should note that forming the cylindrical guide element of a hard polymer is an obvious matter of design choice wherein no stated problem is solved or unexpected results obtained in forming the cylindrical portion of a hard polymer versus the material disclosed by Reusser.

With regards to claims 17 and 18, Reusser discloses a pierce nut installation apparatus comprising a reciprocating plunger **P** having an end portion engaging and installing pierce nuts in a panel 14, the end portion of the plunger including a generally cylindrical guide portion 16 projecting from the end portion of the plunger received in bore 2 of the pierce nut, an outer diameter of the cylindrical guide portion being substantially equal to an internal diameter of the bore, the cylindrical guide portion being an axial pilot, and wherein the cylindrical guide could be a retracting pilot, see column 4, lines 62-70, column 6, lines 1-5 and figures 1-3. Reusser does not disclose the generally cylindrical guide portion being frustoconical having a major diameter at the end portion of the plunger substantially equal to the internal diameter of the bore of the pierce nuts. However Capuano teaches such guide portion, see column 7, lines 21-26. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have designed the guide portion of the plunger substantially equal

Art Unit: 3726

to the internal diameter of the bore of the pierce nuts, in light of the teachings of Capuano, in order to accurately locate the pierce nuts during installation. Applicant should note that the pierce nut feed passage for receiving pierce nuts and the plunger passage communicating the feed passage receiving the pierce nuts from the feed passage are conventional in the art and that the cylindrical guide portion disclosed by Reusser is capable of preventing cocking of the pierce nuts. Applicant should also note that it is inherent that Reusser's plunger will have an axial bore in the embodiment where the guide pilot is retractable.

With regards to claim 20, Applicant should note that forming the cylindrical guide element of a hard polymer is an obvious matter of design choice wherein no stated problem is solved or unexpected results obtained in forming the cylindrical portion of a hard polymer versus the material disclosed by Reusser.

8. Claims 12 and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reusser/Capuano as applied to claims 11 and 17 above, and further in view of Kitaura.

Reusser/Capuano discloses a pierce nut installation apparatus as shown above except for the end portion of the plunger including a threaded axial opening and the generally cylindrical guide portion including a male threaded portion threadably received in the threaded axial opening. However Kitaura teaches threaded axial opening in a plunger 11 and such male threaded end portion 12, see figure 6 for example. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have provided the pierce nut installation apparatus of Reusser/Capuano with a plunger with a threaded axial opening and a cylindrical guide portion with a male

Art Unit: 3726

threaded end portion threadable received in the threaded axial opening, in light of the teachings of Kitaura, in order to be able to facilitate feeding of the nuts to the punch.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 8 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (703) 305-2915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Essama Omgba Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726

eo September 28, 2004